Dynamic Light Scattering for the Characterization of Polydisperse Fractal Systems: II. Relation between Structure and DLS Results
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Abstract

Dynamic light scattering (DLS) is frequently used to characterize suspensions of pyrogenic silica which consists of polydisperse fractal aggregates of sintered spherical primary particles. As the method primarily measures temporal fluctuations of scattered light caused by the translational and rotational diffusive motion of the aggregates it is an important prerequisite to identify those structural properties that are measurable with DLS and to quantify the method’s sensitivity to changes in these properties. In a recent paper [1] we have investigated the structure-hydrodynamics relationship via simulations. Here, the validation of the simulation results by experimental data is presented. Therefore, the structure of different pyrogenic silica grades has been characterized by static light and X-ray scattering and the diffusional properties were obtained by multi-angle DLS measurements. It is shown that the hydrodynamic radii determined with DLS scale well with the mean aggregate radius of gyration but that the influence of rotational diffusion has to be accounted for in the measurements.
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1 Introduction

Pyrogenic (or fumed) materials are produced by combustion or other high temperature processes, e.g., technical pyrogenic silica evolves in the hydrolysis of silicon tetrachloride in a hydrogen/oxygen flame:

$$\text{SiCl}_4 + 2\text{H}_2 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2 + 4\text{HCl}$$

Flame synthesis that allows for the production of a variety of chemically very pure materials has become very popular in the last years [2-5]. Due to the generation process the particles often show a complex structure. Firstly, in the flame very small protoparticles consisting of only a few SiO$_2$ molecules are produced that collide and sinter completely to form nearly spherical primary particles of 5–100 nm in size. These primary particles afterwards are still solidifying so that upon collision again an incomplete sintering occurs. Then, aggregates are formed that are 100–500 nm in size. The structure of these aggregates can be best modeled as self-similar fractals [6]. This essentially means that the scale of an aggregate obeys a power law between its volume or mass and its size:

$$m \sim R_g^{D_f}$$

(1)

The exponent $D_f$ is called the mass-fractal dimension. It can take values between 1 (for a linear chain) and 3 (a
compact body). The equivalent aggregate radius is the radius of gyration defined as:

\[ R_g = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{prim}} m_i (\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_{cg})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{prim}} m_i}} \]  

where \( m_i \) and \( \vec{r}_i \) are the mass and the position vector of the \( i \)-th primary particle and \( \vec{r}_{cg} \) is the position vector of the center of gravity of the cluster. For pyrogenic silica it has been obtained via TEM investigations that the size of the primary particles in a single aggregate does not vary much [7], so Eqs. (1) and (2) can be simplified:

\[ N_{prim} = k_f \left( \frac{R_g}{R_{prim}} \right)^{D_f} \]  

\[ R_g = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_{prim}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{prim}} (\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_{cg})^2} \]  

The structure and size of pyrogenic aggregates can be tuned by changing the parameters of the flame or the burner [2, 3]. For pyrogenic silica different grades are industrially produced. These are most often distinguished by their specific surface area \( S_m \) determined from gas adsorption (BET, i.e., Brunauer-Emmett-Teller surface area). Commercially available silica ranges from 50–400 m²/g. However, it is not only the size of the primary particles that is altered between the grades but also their distribution. Low specific surface area grades possess a broad distribution of primary particle sizes while high specific surface area grades generally show a narrow distribution. Figure 1 gives two TEM micrographs that visualize the difference.

The structure of fractal aggregates can most easily be characterized by static light scattering (SLS) or small-angle X-ray (SAXS) and neutron scattering (SANS). In liquid applications (where pyrogenic silica is often used as abrasive, thixotropic or thickening agent and as anti-settling agent) the characterization of the disperse state is mostly carried out by dynamic light scattering (DLS). DLS, however, primarily measures fluctuations of scattered light at a certain scattering angle that are caused by diffusive motions of the particles [8–10]. The determined diffusion coefficients can be converted to a hydrodynamic aggregate radius via the Stokes-Einstein equation:

\[ D = \frac{k_B T}{6 \pi \eta R_h} \]  

where \( k_B \) is Boltzmann’s constant, \( T \) is the temperature, \( \eta \) is the viscosity of the suspension medium and \( R_h \) is the radius of a sphere with the same hydrodynamic drag as the particle under consideration. In a recent paper we have investigated the theoretical relationship between the structural properties of fractal aggregates and the hydrodynamic radius determinable with DLS [1]. As the simulations employed there naturally involved some simplifications, comparative measurements have to be conducted to transfer the results to real industrial particle systems.

In this paper we present a comprehensive experimental study on the relation between the structure and hydrodynamics of pyrogenic silica and consequently a comparison to our simulation data. First we will shortly summarize the simulation results that are essential for the
comparison. Section 3 then gives the basics for the structural investigations and the DLS measurements. In section 4 the materials and methods that have been used in the experiments are described. Section 5 is devoted to the measurements of the structural properties of pyrogenic silica while section 6 plays with the DLS experiments. A comparison between experiments and simulation is then given in section 7.

2 Recapitulation of Simulation Results

The most important result of our simulations (see [1] for details) was the relation between the hydrodynamic radius of translation and the radius of gyration for different sizes and fractal dimensions of the considered aggregates. This relation was shown to hold for every fractal-like generation mechanism. However, for pyrogenic silica Schaefer and Hurd [11] proposed a diffusion-limited cluster aggregation (DLCA) [12, 13] of the primary particles as being the most realistic scenario describing the generation process. Such a process yields aggregates with a fractal dimension of 1.86 for very large numbers of primary particles [14, 15] but for small aggregates we have shown that the resulting aggregates show varying fractal dimensions between 1.5 and 1.85 [1]. This range together with the usually observed number of primary particles per aggregate (between 10 and 1000 as obtained from TEM investigations [16]) gives the final result that the hydrodynamic radius of translation \( R_{h, t} \) should be somewhat smaller than the radius of gyration with values between 0.7...1.0 \( R_g \). This ratio should be measurable with static and dynamic scattering techniques. However, the rotation of aggregates also has an influence on DLS results. Every change of the positions of the scattering centers of the aggregate in the measurement volume leads to fluctuations in the scattered light intensity. Due to the open structure of the fractal aggregates impacts of solvent molecules may cause relatively larger torques compared to compact particles of the same mass. Therefore, rotational diffusion is also reflected in the scattered light so that the true hydrodynamic radius of translation can only be determined from measurements at multiple scattering angles [1,9,17,18].

Another important result of our simulations that must be mentioned here is that the hydrodynamic radii also show a fractal scaling that can be described with a power law (cf. Eq. (3)) but that the exponents can be different. Therefore, the concept of hydrodynamic dimensions \( D_h \) has been introduced with:

\[
N_{\text{prim}} = k_f^{h, t} \left( \frac{R_{h, t}}{R_{\text{prim}}} \right)^{D_h^{h, t}} = k_f^{h, \text{rot}} \left( \frac{R_{h, \text{rot}}}{R_{\text{prim}}} \right)^{D_h^{h, \text{rot}}}
\]

For DLCA aggregates that should resemble pyrogenic silica the following numerical values have been obtained:

\[
N_{\text{prim}} = 1.57 \left( \frac{R_f}{R_{\text{prim}}} \right)^{1.71} = 1.09 \left( \frac{R_{h, t}}{R_{\text{prim}}} \right)^{1.96}
\]

\[
= 1.07 \left( \frac{R_{h, \text{rot}}}{R_{\text{prim}}} \right)^{1.91}
\]

This difference between the fractal dimension \( D_f = 1.71 \) and the hydrodynamic dimensions will be of importance in the comparison with the experimental values.

3 Theoretical

3.1 Static Scattering Techniques

For the structural investigation of matter a collimated beam is incident on the sample. It is scattered by interactions with the particles in the sample, the dispersed particles as well as the solvent molecules. The mean scattered intensity \( I \) is recorded in dependence of the observation angle \( \theta \). The momentum transfer between the incident field and the scattered field is characterized by the vectorial difference of the respective wave vectors \( \vec{k}_{\text{inc}} \) and \( \vec{k}_{\text{scat}} \), the scattering vector \( \vec{s} \). Its magnitude can be computed from triangular relations under the assumption of elastic scattering (i.e., no frequency change of the scattered wave compared to the incident wave):

\[
|\vec{s}| = s = \frac{4 \pi n}{\lambda_0} \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)
\]

where \( n \) is the refractive index of the medium and \( \lambda_0 \) is the wavelength of the incident beam in vacuum. The inverse of the scattering vector has a unit of length and can be understood as being the structure size of matter under investigation. By using SAXS or SANS (s-range: 0.01–1 nm\(^{-1}\)) and SLS (s-range: 0.002–0.03 nm\(^{-1}\)) several orders of magnitude can be spanned.

If fractal-like particle systems are investigated with static scattering typical patterns as the one given in Figure 2 are obtained. If this pattern is investigated from low to high s-values the same happens as when the magnification of a microscope is increased. At low s the scattered light intensity is proportional to the volume of the aggregate (assuming Rayleigh-Debye-Gans scattering) [19]. As the volume does not change in the sample a constant value is measured. The constant level is followed by an exponential decay of the scattered intensity. Guinier [20] showed that this can be
associated with a characteristic size of the structure under investigation. There are two Guinier regimes in Figure 3, the one at lower q can be related to the aggregate radius of gyration while the other one is a measure of the primary particle radius of gyration:

\[ I(s) = \exp \left( -s^2 \frac{R_g^2}{3} \right) \]  

(9)

In between this two exponential regimes the decay of the scattered light intensity follows a power-law that describes the mass-fractal scaling inside the aggregate:

\[ I(s) \sim s^{-D_f} \]  

(10)

At even higher q again a power-law behavior is observed. This is the Porod regime [21, 22] where the surface fractal dimension \( D_f \) of the primary particles may be obtained via:

\[ I(s) \sim s^{-(6-D_f^S)} \]  

(11)

The surface fractal dimension describes the roughness of the surface with values between 2 (perfect sphere) and 3 (very rough surfaces). At larger \( s \) the material is then probed at atomic levels (crystallinity and crystal structures, not shown in Figure 2).

In practice, the different exponential and power-law regions cannot be easily distinguished. Therefore, Beaucage developed a unified equation that is able to fit a whole measured scattering pattern to give the fractal dimensions and the size parameters [23, 24]. By using further analyses also the specific surface area can be determined [25].

### 3.2 Dynamic Light Scattering

Particles in liquids are in permanent motion due to non-compensated impacts of the solvent molecules. If a light beam impinges on such particles the observed scattered light intensity shows temporal variations caused by an optical Doppler effect. Most DLS instruments compute the second-order intensity auto-correlation function (ACF):

\[ g_2(\tau) = \frac{\langle I(t) I(t + \tau) \rangle}{\langle I(t) \rangle^2} \]  

(12)

that is related to the first-order electric field correlation function (FCF) via the Siegert-relation [26]:

\[ g_1(\tau) = 1 + b g_s(\tau)^2 \]  

(13)

Here, \( \tau \) is the lag time of the correlator and \( b \) is the coherence factor of the measurement instrument which is dependent on the detector area, the optical alignment and the scattering properties of the system. In diluted suspensions, values of 0.9–1 can be achieved. The solution of Fick’s second law in the domain of the scattering vector reveals that the FCF is a simple exponential for monodisperse particles of size \( x \) and diffusion coefficient \( D \):

\[ g_1(\tau) = \exp(-Ds^2\tau) \]  

(14)

For polydisperse systems each particle contributes weighted by its scattering efficiency and the FCF then reads:

\[ g_1(\tau) = \int_0^\infty q_{int}(D) \exp(-Ds^2\tau) \, dD \]  

(15)

The determination of \( q_{int}(D) \) from Eq. (15) poses some mathematical difficulties. Eq. (15) is a Fredholm integral equation of the first kind, which can in principle be solved by an inversion after discretization. However, the measurement error causes that usually an infinite number of equally probable solutions may be found. Thus, data analysis is either carried with methods that only determine some mean values and moments of \( q_{int}(D) \) and require no a priori information or with Laplace inversion schemes that incorporate several boundary conditions to deal with the ill-conditioned nature of Eq. (15) [27–29]. For our purposes only the so-called second cumulants analysis introduced by Koppel [30] has been
used. The cumulants generating function is just the natural logarithm of the FCF:

\[ K(-\tau, s) = \ln|g_1(\tau, s)| \]  

(16)

The cumulants \( K_i(s) \) are defined as the coefficients of an expansion of \( K(-\tau, s) \) in a MacLaurin series:

\[ K(-\tau, s) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i(s) (-\tau)^i \]  

(17)

Usually, only the two first cumulants can be determined with low uncertainty from experimental data [30]:

\[ K_1 = D_{\text{eff}} = \int_0^\infty Dq(D) \, dD \]  

(18)

\[ K_2 = \int_0^\infty (D - D_{\text{eff}})^2 q(D) \, dD \]  

(19)

The first cumulant describes an effective mean diffusion coefficient while the second describes the relative width of the distribution if normalized by \((K_1)^2\). The normalized variable is usually referred to as the polydispersity index \( \text{PDI} \):

\[ \text{PDI} = \frac{K_2}{K_1^2} \]  

(20)

This method is fast, robust and easy to use and is therefore even incorporated in the International Standard ISO 13321 [10].

4 Materials and Methods

In these investigations different pyrogenic silica grades supplied by Wacker-Chemie AG, Burghausen have been used. Table 1 gives an overview of the employed samples and their properties.

Table 1: Employed pyrogenic silica samples and their relevant physical properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Silica Grade</th>
<th>Specific Surface Area ( S_m ) (BET) [m²/g], mean value of specification</th>
<th>Sauter Diameter ( x_{ST} ) [nm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D05</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10P</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S13</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V15</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N20</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T30</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T40</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Sauter diameter \( x_{ST} \) has been computed with:

\[ x_{ST} = \frac{6}{S_m \rho_s} \]  

(21)

assuming a solids density \( \rho_s \) of 2200 kg/m³. As the original product is a dry powder an extensive dispersion procedure is necessary to obtain a reproducible state of dispersion. Imperfect dispersion results in the measurement of smaller diffusion coefficients by DLS and has, therefore, to be avoided. Pyrogenic silica was firstly added up to 5 wt.% to a mother solution of 0.001 M potassium nitrate while stirring the liquid. After a 30 min stirring, the suspension was firstly dispersed with a rotor-stator disperser for 10 min at 7000 min⁻¹ (UltraTurrax T50, IKA, Germany). Then, the pH of the suspension was adjusted to a value of 9 with KOH to stabilize the silica aggregates and prevent agglomeration. Subsequently, dispersion was finished using an ultrasonic disintegrator (VibraCell VCX 600, 600 W, Sonics and Materials Inc., USA). Sonification lasted for 2 minutes twice. To avoid a strong heating, the probe was used in pulse mode (2 s on / 2 s off). The progress of dispersion was monitored using laser diffraction (HELOS 12 KA/LA, Sympatec GmbH, Germany) after each step. Between the process steps the suspension was cooled. Finally, the pH was again controlled and adjusted. Then, for the SAXS measurements 0.1 wt.% samples were prepared by dilution with the mother solution. For SLS and DLS, a single droplet of the 5 wt.% solution was added to 100 ml of deionized water. To further remove dust contributions the suspensions were filtered through a 20 μm paper filter under clean room conditions. The sample flasks were then sealed and the samples characterized.

SAXS measurements were conducted at the Institute of Mechanical Process Engineering and Mechanics of the University of Karlsruhe. The experimental set-up was a modified Kratky camera with a block collimation system as described by Dingenouts [31]. The measurable \( s \)-range was 0.04 nm⁻¹ – 1 nm⁻¹. Data analysis involved background correction, desmearing and the structural analysis using the Irena 2 package (Argonne National Laboratory, USA) for Igor Pro (WaveMetrics Inc., USA).

For the SLS measurements we employed a modified FICA 50 (Applied Research Laboratories GmbH, Germany) equipped with a He-Ne gas laser (\( \lambda_0 = 632 \) nm). The angular range of the instrument was 15°–145°. Samples were contained in a temperature-controlled index-matching vat. No
background signal and no refractive index increment was measured since this is not necessary for the structural analysis.

Multi-angle DLS measurements have been performed on an ALV/DLS/SLS-5000 laser light scattering spectrometer (ALV Laservertriebsgesellschaft mbH, Germany) with an ALV-5000/EPP multiple tau correlator and an ALV/CGS-8F S/N 025 goniometer system that provides an angular range of $10^\circ$–$150^\circ$. A He-Ne laser that could be mitigated by a compensated optical attenuator, was used as light source. Laser power stabilization lasted for at least 1 h before a measurement. The cylindrical sample cell was again placed in an index-matching vat with an accuracy of $\pm 5 \mu m$. Before a measurement run was started temperature of vat and sample were equilibrated for 15 min. For an additional DLS measurement point in the backscattering regime at $173^\circ$ we used a Malvern HPPS DLS instrument also equipped with a He-Ne gas laser. For each measured scattering angle the data acquisition time was changed according to Eqs. (8) and (14):

$$\frac{t_{\text{meas}}^1}{t_{\text{meas}}^2} = \frac{\sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)}{\sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)}$$

(22)

to probe the same dynamic range and yield the same statistics for each data point of the measured ACF. As a starting time 90 s duration for $\theta = 173^\circ$ was chosen. Data acquisition and analysis was carried out with the ALV Correlator Software V.3.0 for both DLS instruments. For each sample 9 single runs were recorded. Afterwards, a unique ACF was obtained by a randomization procedure where for each lag time an autocorrelation value was chosen randomly from the single runs. This method stabilizes the subsequent data analysis since covariant measurement errors are minimized [32].

5 Structural Properties

The measured SAXS intensity profiles of the pyrogenic silica grades are given in Figure 3. In Figure 3(a) a single scattering profile has been given and the relevant regions designated. Obviously, the determination of the surface fractal dimension and the primary particle size is hampered by the larger uncertainty of the data in this region. From Figure 3(b) it can additionally be concluded that the mass-fractal dimension $D_f$ for low specific surface area grades is influenced by the polydispersity of the samples affecting the linearity in the log-log plot. This difficulty also holds for the aggregate radius of gyration.

Table 2 gives the results of the structural analysis obtained from the unified equation [23,24]. Additionally, the specific surface area has been computed to enable a comparison with the gas adsorption values (BET). The data do not correspond to the expectations at first sight. The most controversial feature is the large difference in the measured mass-fractal dimension and the value that is expected from the proposed generation mechanism of Schaefer and Hurd [11] (DLCA; $D_f \sim 1.5$–$1.85$). The deviations here are not only due to
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the deformation of the profiles caused by sample poly-
dispersity. There must be other reasons for this beha-
vior.
A second observation which can be made is that the
radius of gyration in Table 2 does not correspond to the
aggregate size that can be observed with TEM [16]. On
the other hand the data can be compared to newly
derived SANS results of Bugnicourt et al. [33] who used
the same silica grades as in this work. They also ob-
tained fractal dimensions in the range of 2.23–2.61 and
radii of gyration between 25–32 nm. However, for one
sample they also obtained another radius at low s
(∼210 nm for a 200 m²/g sample) that was interpreted
as an interparticle distance. This order of magnitude is,
however, the expected range from TEM analysis. Re-
garding this expectation it is assumable that the accessi-
ble s-range in the SAXS measurements is not sufficient
to characterize the whole scattering pattern of pyrogenic
silica. This hypothesis is additionally supported by the
specific surface area values in Table 2. The computed
values are always higher than the gas adsorption mea-
surements (c.f. Table 1). This result should be obtained
if large primary particles and aggregates were excluded
in the SAXS scattering pattern. The sample with
400 m²/g specific surface area is the only exception in
Table 2 since the primary particles show an intrinsic por-
osity that is measurable with gas adsorption but invisible
for SAXS.
SLS probes smaller s-values than SAXS and may, there-
fore, serve as a further substantiation of the above made
hypothesis. Figure 4 shows the log-log plot of the scat-
tered light intensity over scattering vector.
There are three regimes that can be distinguished
among the grades. For high specific surface area values
at low s a plateau value is observed that suggests a tran-
sition to volume scattering. A Guinier regime related to
a radius of gyration can be observed for all grades.
However, the exponential decrease is shifted to higher
s-values when the specific surface area of the samples is
increased. Finally, at large s power-law behavior can be
observed corresponding to fractal scaling. The deter-
mmed fractal dimensions and radii of gyration are sum-
marized in Table 3.

Table 3: Structural properties of pyrogenic silica samples deter-
mined from SLS. The uncertainty in fractal dimension rises with
increasing specific-surface area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sm (BET) [m²/g]</th>
<th>Rg [nm]</th>
<th>Df</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fractal dimension determined from the slope of the
decrease in the log-log plot gives reliable results only for
low surface area grades (up to Sm = 150 m²/g) since
more than 15 data points are included in the fit.
Uncertainties occur for high specific surface area grades
since only 4 or 5 points can be used to determine the
fractal dimension that is still influenced by the Guinier
regime.
It is, however, striking that both values, Df and Rg differ
remarkably from the SAXS values. The radii of gyration
are much larger which is obviously due to the different
s-ranges of the two methods. Additionally, there is a
clear decrease of the radius of gyration with increasing
surface area which was not obtained with SAXS
and SANS [33]. As with SLS for some grades the
volume scattering plateau is reached, it is likely that the
radii of gyration determined here are the true aggregate
radii.
Contrary, the fractal dimensions are lower for all grades
and are even nearly constant for samples with low speci-
fic surface area. The values of 1.55–1.78 also rather cor-
respond to the theoretically expected values for a
DLCA generation mechanism. However, the SAXS
results may not just be dismissed. Clarification can only
be anticipated from the dynamic scattering results since
the hydrodynamic radii should scale with the radius of
gyration of the aggregates as obtained in our simulations
[1].
6 Diffusion Measurements

Figure 5 shows the primary measurement result, the autocorrelation functions, for a sample with $S_m = 150$ m$^2$/g specific surface area. It is readily available from the figure that the mean decay is shifted towards larger lag times with a reduction of the scattering angle. Thus, the adjustment of the duration of a single measurement run with Eq. (22) is verified.

As a first analysis step the mean diffusion coefficients for each scattering angle have been computed from a second cumulants analysis of the randomized ACF’s. Additional to the standard algorithm outlined in section 3.2 we used an additional baseline correction via a low-pass filter of the frequency spectrum obtained from a fast-Fourier transformation of the gradient of the ACF. We then achieve an ACF that decays to zero within the main decay. This procedure accounts for single large agglomerates or dust in the samples and is extremely useful especially for low scattering angles. The obtained mean diffusion coefficients are shown in Figure 6(a) for low specific surface area grades and in Figure 6(b) for high specific-surface area grades.

There is a strong variation of the mean diffusion coefficients when the scattering angle is changed. As predicted in our simulations we have to consider the influence of aggregate rotational diffusion here [1]. In view of the evolution of the scattering angle dependence an interesting feature can be observed. For the grades with 300 m$^2$/g and 400 m$^2$/g specific-surface area the mean diffusion coefficient seems to approach a plateau value at low $s$ while for the other grades this behavior is not observed. Additionally, the curves resemble the theoretically obtained trend of Lindsay et al. [17] for DLCA aggregates. They obtained an overestimate of the mean diffusion coefficient if $s R_g > 1$ that grows to a plateau of about $1.55 - 1.65 D(s \to 0)$ for $s R_g > 5$ as obtained in our simulations. Therefore, the following empirical equation approximating this behavior has been used to determine the aggregate translational diffusion coefficient:

$$\frac{D}{10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}} = C_1 + \frac{C_2}{1 + \left(\frac{\log_{10}(s \cdot m)}{C_3}\right)^{C_4}}$$

(23)

An extrapolation to $s \to 0$ gives the desired value. From it, the hydrodynamic radius of translation can be computed using the Stokes-Einstein relation (Eq. (5)). The results are displayed in Table 4.

It can be deduced that the hydrodynamic radii are always smaller but comparable to the radius of gyration determined with SLS (c.f. Table 3). This result substantiates the above made hypothesis that the size measured with static light scattering corresponds to the true aggre-
gate radius. It has to be noted that the plateau value \( D_\infty / D_0 \) can only be extrapolated with small uncertainty for the lowest specific-surface area grade. The results 1.55 (50 m\(^2\)/g) and 1.66 (100 m\(^2\)/g) suggest a relation to our simulation results and to the results of Lindsay et al. [17], however, a clear prove could only be received from measurements at even larger scattering vectors (which is not achievable using dynamic light scattering at 632.8 nm wavelength).

### 7 Comparison

If we directly compare the ratio \( R_{h,t} / R_g \) (see Table 5) we obtain values between 0.787 and 0.987. This range has been predicted in the simulations (c.f. section 2). This supports the assumption that the best description of the generation process of pyrogenic silica is a DLCA mechanism.

Now, the ratios can additionally be employed to estimate the mean number of primary particles per aggregate from our simulations (c.f. Figure 7 in [1]). Therefore, we assume an equal fractal dimension of 1.8 for all samples accounting for the SLS results and the simulations. The obtained numbers are between 90 and 1000 which is in good agreement to the observations made by TEM imaging [16]. The obtained trend is naturally clear as, e.g., low specific-surface area grades possess larger primary particles so they must show lower numbers of primary particles. If we now use Eq. (7) which was obtained in the simulations for DLCA aggregates to compute the mean primary particle radius \( R_{prim} \) of the samples from the \( N_{prim} \) and \( R_{h,t} \) we obtain values that are in a fairly good agreement to the radii determined from gas adsorption (c.f. Table 1). This is another indication of the reliability of our simulations and the good comparability between SLS and DLS.

If we finally plot the mean diffusion coefficients normalized with translational diffusion coefficient \( D_0 \) against the scaled structural axis \( s \cdot R_g \) (SLS) in Figure 7 an interesting observation can be made. The data points form a master-curve for all silica grades except for the one with \( S_m = 400 \text{ m}^2/\text{g} \) specific-surface area. As mentioned earlier deviations in this grade may be due to an intrinsic porosity of the primary particles caused by the production process.

This master-curve strongly resembles the simulation results of Lindsay et al. [17] for DLCA clusters. Though, the upper plateau predicted with 1.7 by Lindsay et al.,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( S_m ) (BET) [m(^2)/g]</th>
<th>( R_{h,t} ) [nm]</th>
<th>( R_{prim} ) (Eq. (7)) with ( R_{h,t} ) [nm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>199.4</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>170.9</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>157.2</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>140.1</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>114.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7: Master-curve of the influence of rotational diffusion on the mean diffusion coefficient \( D \) measured with DLS. The values are scaled with the corresponding translational diffusion coefficient obtained at \( s \rightarrow 0 \). The scattering vector is scaled with the radius of gyration \( R_g \) from SLS. Plot as in the simulations of Lindsay et al. [17].
1.55–1.65 by our simulations [1] and 1.45 by Lattuada et al. [18] cannot be determined exactly in our measurements. However, no measured data point exceeds the upper limit so our simulation results are again validated. The interpretation of the SAXS results is still an open question. A hypothetical explanation may be found in the generation process. In the hot zone of the flame, where the primary particles are produced, there is a large number concentration and the particles are still solidifying. Thus, the aggregation is mostly driven by primary particle – primary particle collisions with a large sintering factor. Small but strongly sintered aggregates are generated. Further down the flame, the temperature drops as well as the primary particle concentration. Now, cluster-cluster aggregation predominates and sintering is reduced. Now, the final aggregates evolve but only with a loose sintered contact. This finally means that an aggregate measured with DLS and SLS may consist of smaller and strongly-sintered sub-aggregates which could explain the measured radii of gyration and fractal dimensions within SAXS. Surely, this assumption has to be substantiated, so future investigations should focus on closing the gap in $s$-range in static scattering (e.g., using Ultra-Small Angle X-ray Scattering) and dynamic scattering. This would enable a unified analysis of the complete scattering pattern as well as an exact determination of the upper and lower plateau values of the mean diffusion coefficient.

8 Summary

The interpretation of dynamic light scattering data for pyrogenic silica is of great relevance for technical applications but is hampered by the fractal structure of the aggregates. After having investigated the relationship between structure descriptors (radius of gyration, mass-fractal dimension) and the hydrodynamic behavior in an earlier paper [1] we have now validated our simulation results with experimental data at commercially available pyrogenic silica powders. The radius of gyration and the fractal dimension were determined from the scattered intensity patterns of static light and X-ray scattering and the hydrodynamic aggregate radii were obtained from multi-angle DLS (extrapolated to $s \to 0$). As obtained in our simulations for a diffusion-limited cluster aggregation the measured radii of SLS and DLS scale with each other (ratio $R_{g,DLS}/R_{g,DLS}$ between 0.787 and 0.987). The fractal dimension determined with SLS also resembles a DLCA generation mechanism. However, the structural properties determined with SAXS differ remarkably from the SLS results. Since both methods measure at different ranges of the scattering vector this suggests that different details of the aggregate structure are observed. For SAXS, the strong sintering of primary particles at the beginning of the aggregation process has been hypothesized to explain the large fractal dimensions measured at sub-structures of the aggregates.

The results of DLS show a strong angular dependence caused by influences of rotational diffusion. On a normalized plot all non-porous silica grades form a master-curve. Such behavior had been predicted from the simulations. This shows that the polydispersity of the primary particles in the silica grades does not influence the comparability of structural and diffusional analysis of pyrogenic silica as both mean values show a good correlation.

To directly obtain information on rotational diffusion of fractal aggregates depolarized dynamic light scattering is a valuable tool that will be examined in further investigations. Hopefully then structural information from the hydrodynamic radius of translation and rotation, respectively, can be merged to get an even more comprehensive insight into the structure and behaviour of polydisperse fractal systems.
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10 Nomenclature

Symbols

- $b$ – coherence factor
- $C$ – constant
- $D$ m$^2$s$^{-1}$ diffusion coefficient
- $D_f$ – mass-fractal dimension
- $g_1$ – normalized electric-field correlation function
- $g_2$ – second-order intensity correlation function
- $I$ a.u. intensity
- $K$ a.u. cumulant of a distribution
- $k_B$ JK$^{-1}$ Boltzmann’s constant
- $k_f$ – fractal prefactor
- $m$ kg mass
- $N$ – number
- $n$ – medium refractive index
- $PDI$ – polydispersity index
- $q$ s$^{-2}$ probability density
- $r$ m distance or position
- $R$ m radius
- $s$ m$^{-1}$ magnitude of the scattering vector
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\( S_m \) m\(^2\)kg\(^{-1}\) specific-surface area  
\( T \) K temperature  
\( t \) s time  
\( x \) m particle size  
\( \eta \) Pa\(\cdot\)s viscosity  
\( \theta \) observation angle  
\( \lambda \) m wavelength  
\( \tau \) s lag time

### Abbreviations

- a.u. arbitrary units
- ACF Auto-Correlation Function
- BET Brunauer-Emmett-Teller model for gas adsorption measurement
- DLCA Diffusion-Limited Cluster Aggregation
- DLS Dynamic Light Scattering
- FCF Field-Correlation Function
- SANS Small-Angle Neutron Scattering
- SAXS Small-Angle X-Ray Scattering
- SLS Static Light Scattering
- TEM Transmission Electron Microscopy
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